



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,850	09/22/2006	Philippe Moser	C 2939 PCT/US	4436
23657	7590	12/23/2010		
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP 997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. #3 Lawrenceville, NJ 08648				
EXAMINER				
WINSTON, RANDALL O				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1655				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/23/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@foxrothschild.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/593,850

Applicant(s)

MOSER ET AL

Examiner

Randall Winston

Art Unit

1655

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 10, 12-15 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 10, 12-15 and 17-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment is made of receipt and entry of the amendment filed on 09/28/2010.

This action is made non-final due to a new ground of rejection.

Applicant's arguments/amendment have overcome the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103(a) rejections set forth in the previous Office action (mailed 04/28/2010).

Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12-15 and 17-21 have been examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 12-15, 17, 18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by the admitted state of the art.

Applicant claims a method for the treatment of the skin (i.e. a skin disorder such as skin inflammatory conditions) comprising the steps of administering to a patient in need thereof a composition comprising an effective amount of a plant extract wherein the plant extract is obtained from extracting the fruit and/or seed of the *Buchholzia coriacea* with a solvent such as water.

As readily admitted by Applicant, a composition comprising a water extract solution (please note that water is an art-recognized pharmaceutical auxiliary/additive) from the seeds of the *Buchholzia coriacea* has effectively been used in the prior art to

treat earaches (which would inherently be a skin inflammatory condition) via topical application thereto. In addition, as readily admitted by Applicant, a composition comprising a fruit extract from *Buchholzia coriacea* (e.g., in the form of a fruit pulp) has been effectively used in the prior art to treat back pain (please also note that back pain is commonly associated with skin inflammation) via topically massaging such a fruit extract thereto (see, e.g., last paragraph on page 2 of the instant specification). Also, please note that the instantly claimed *in vivo* functional effects (if not expressly admitted) would be inherent upon such administration/application.

Therefore, the admitted state of the art is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12-15 and 17-21 as being anticipated by the admitted state of the art in view and Doi et al. (JP 411322630A, see entire article).

Applicant claims a composition and/or method comprising the steps of administering to a patient in need thereof a composition comprising an effective amount of a plant extract wherein the plant extract is obtained from extracting the fruit and/or seed of the *Buchholzia coriacea* with a solvent such as water and other claimed active

ingredients therein (i.e. an additional additive and/or auxiliary) to be administered (i.e. orally or topically in claimed forms) in effective amounts to a patient in need thereof for the treatment of skin disorders (i.e. a skin disorder such as skin inflammatory conditions).

As readily admitted by Applicant, a composition comprising a water extract from the seeds of the *Buchholzia coriacea* has effectively been used in the prior art to treat earaches (which would inherently be a skin inflammatory condition) via topical application thereto. In addition, as readily admitted by Applicant, a composition comprising a fruit extract from *Buchholzia coriacea* (e.g., in the form of a fruit pulp) has been effectively used in the prior art to treat back pain (please also note that back pain is commonly associated with skin inflammation) via topically massaging such a fruit extract thereto (see, e.g., last paragraph on page 2 of the instant specification). Also, please note that the instantly claimed *in vivo* functional effects (if not expressly admitted) would be intrinsic upon such administration/application. Applicant's specification, however, does not teach within its composition and/or method to include an additional claimed skin additives therein to be administered topically on the skin in effective amounts to a patient in need thereof for the treatment of skin inflammatory conditions.

Doi beneficially teaches well known skin additives such as antimicrobial agents are useful within compositions for the treatment of skin inflammatory conditions (see, e.g. entire article).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the therapeutic *Buchholzia coriacea* seed and/or fruit extract preparations admittedly known in the prior state to include an additional well known skin additive/auxilliary such as those instantly claimed, including an antimicrobial agent as taught by Doi because the above combined cited references as a whole would create the claimed composition and/or method comprising the steps of administering to a patient in need of a composition comprising an effective amount of a plant extract wherein the plant extract is obtained from extracting the fruit and/or seed of the *Buchholzia coriacea* with a solvent such as water as well as to include other well known skin additives therein to be administered topically on the skin in effective amounts to a patient in need thereof for the treatment of skin inflammatory conditions. The result-effective adjustment of conventional working conditions (e.g., incorporating one or more conventional skin additives and/or auxillaries such as those instantly claimed to such a topical therapeutic composition, determining a suitable amount range thereof, and or treating a particular type of back pain such as that commonly caused by inflammation - including arthritic back pain) is deemed a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Accordingly, the invention as a whole is prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RW

/Christopher R. Tate/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655